

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,353	08/20/2003	Igor V. Kutyavin	17682A-007910US	6753	
20350	0350 7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			WHISENANT	WHISENANT, ETHAN C	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1634		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/645,353	KUTYAVIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS THE MON	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	oril 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	-					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 29-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 110/a	\ (d\ or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	y-(u) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	od III tillo i tational Glago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ed.				
AMarkar and A						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	(DTO 412)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/645,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

#### Non-Final Action

1. Applicant's election of Group I (Claims 1-25 and 29-32) in the paper(s) filed 21 APR 06 is acknowledged. Claims 26-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. It is noted that the applicant has not traversed the restriction requirement, distinctly and specifically pointing out any supposed errors in the restriction requirement, therefore, this election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The restriction requirement has been reconsidered, is deemed proper and is therefore, herein made FINAL.

# **SEQUENCE RULES**

2. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

## 35 USC § 112- 2nd Paragraph

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1634

Page 3

# CLAIM REJECTIONS under 35 USC § 112- 2ND PARAGRAPH

4. Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is unclear because of the use of the word "5unpaired." It is unclear what is intended. Please correct.

# 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that may form the basis for rejections set forth in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 1634

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 4

#### CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC § 102

7. Claim(s) 24 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Backman et al. [US 5,516,663 (1996)].

Claim 24 is drawn to a kit comprising an AP site probe. Backman et al. teach a kit comprising an AP site probe. See at least Claim 30 in Column 60-61.

#### **REASON FOR ALLOWANCE**

8. Claims 1-23, 25 and 29-32 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art considered does not teach or reasonably suggest the method(s) for detecting a target nucleic acid in a sample comprising all of the limitations recited in Claim 1 and 25. In particular, the closest prior art Backman et al. [US Patent No. 5,516,663 (1996)] does not teach or reasonably suggest, either alone or in combination with the other prior art considered, the method for detecting a target nucleic acid in a sample recited in Claims 1 and 25.

Art Unit: 1634

# STATUTORY DOUBLE PATENTING

#### 35 U.S.C. 101

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 101

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 5

#### **CLAIM REJECTIONS under 35 USC § 101**

- **10.** Claim(s) 1-25 and 29-32 is/are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 1-25 and 29-32 of U.S.S.N. 11/432,763. This is a provisional double patenting rejection as the claims in question have not been patented.
- 11. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- **12.** A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

#### CONCLUSION

- **13.** Claim(s) 1-25 and 29-32 is/are rejected and/or objected to for the reason(s) set forth above.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0754. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30AM 5:30PM EST or any time via voice mail. If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

The Central Fax number for the USPTO is (571) 273-8300. Please note that the faxing of papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

ETHAN WHISENANT PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 1634

Application No.: <u>10/645,353</u>

# NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

	Application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825.  Applicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
•	2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
•	3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked-up "Raw Sequence Listing."
	5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
	6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	7. Other:

# **Applicant Must Provide:**

- An <u>initial</u> or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
- An <u>initial</u> or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as, an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
- A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).